

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,704	12/06/2000	Barry Allen Thomas Brown	30990100US	7378
75	90 03/14/2005		EXAM	INER
Hewlett-Packard Company			HENN, TIMOTHY J	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			
PO Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2612	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/729,704	BROWN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Timothy J Henn	2612	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 25 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires ______months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: .

Application/Control Number: 09/729,704

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 25 February 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Central to the applicants arguments is the question of whether or not the scanner of Fujieda (Figure 14) is a swipe scanner. The examiner notes that the term "swipe scanner" is not a well known term with a universally accepted definition. Therefore, one must look to the specification for guidance as to the meaning of the term. Paragraph 0002 describes a "swipe scanner" as having a linear array of photodetectors arranged behind a focusing element, wherein the focusing element is swiped in near-contact across an object to be imaged. Using this definition it can clearly be seen that the scanner of Fujieda shown in Figure 14 and described in column 10 satisfies these requirements.
- 2. Looking to the claim it can further be seen that Figure 14 of Fujieda meets all limitations corresponding to the swipe scanner. Specifically, Fujieda discloses a swipe scanner (Figure 14, Item 36) having a detector array (Figure 14, Item 36b) having an associated optical system (Figure 14, Item 36a), the swipe scanner being configured to move relative to the medium (Figure 27). Therefore, Fujieda meets all limitations, both described in the specification and claimed, of a "swipe scanner".
- 3. While Fujieda can be said to disclose a swipe scanner as noted above, it is admitted that under the proposed combination of Fishbine in view of Wakabayashi in further view of Fujieda the entire apparatus does not move relative to the medium being scanned as shown in Figure 2 of the present application. If the claims were amended in

_

Application/Control Number: 09/729,704

Art Unit: 2612

such a way that required the swipe scanner to remain stationary relative to the electronic camera portion while moving relative to a medium to be scanned or required the entire image capturing apparatus to move relative to a medium to be scanned, the combination of Fishbine in view of Wakabayashi in further view of Fujieda would no longer be valid.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/729,704

Art Unit: 2612

Page 4

TJH 3/10/2005

WENDY R. GARBER
WENDY R. GARBER
UPEF: JISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500